



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,969	04/30/2007	Tohru Natsume	039371-17	8384
25570	7590	05/08/2009	EXAMINER	
ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.			MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM	
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 10064			1656	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/08/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallagher@rmsclaw.com
dbeltran@rmsclaw.com
bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,969	NATSUME ET AL.	
	Examiner Maryam Monshipouri	Art Unit 1656	

All Participants:

(1) *Maryam Monshipouri*. (3) _____.
 (2) *Mr. T. W. Cole*. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2009

Status of Application: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

WO2000/77255, 12/2000

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called applicant to object to the disclosure which appears to be hard to understand because not only is it a direct word for word translation of Japanese to English but at many pages there is no space between words of a sentence (see for example, page 3, paragraph [0008], line 4). Also the examiner cited the above art to applicant, which breaks the unity of invention and requested oral election. However, Mr. Cole indicated that applicant needs a written restriction letter. He also indicated that he will provide a substitute disclosure that can be better understood.